

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/675,169	09/30/2003	Ramesh Varadaraj	RV-0318	5906		
75	7590 08/28/2006			EXAMINER		
ExxonMobil Research and Engineering Company			CAIN, EDWARD J			
P.O. Box 900 Annandale, NJ 08801-0900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Annandale, NJ	08801-0900		1714			
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2006			

DATE MAILED: 00/20/2000

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/675,16	9	VARADARAJ ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Edward J.	Cain	1714			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Preply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the median patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no evo iod will apply and wi itute, cause the app	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim II expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONEI	N. nety filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	4 August 2006					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is n	on-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from co					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exame The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous the oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) the drawing(s) b rection is requir	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Application ents have been received e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen  1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/r No(s)/Mail Date	08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/675,169

Art Unit: 1714

The request for continued examination has been received. The petition received 14 August 2006 is pending.

Claims 1-4 and 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berlowitz in view of WO '884.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berlowitz in view of WO '884 and Krivohlavek.

Claims 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berlowitz in view of WO '884, Krivohlavek and Yeager et al.

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berlowitz in view of WO '884, Krivohlavek, Yeager et al and Binet et al.

Claims 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berlowitz in view of WO '884 and Krivohlavek.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berlowitz in view of WO '884 and Krivohlavek and Yu et al.

Each of these rejections is maintained for reasons of record since applicants' have not yet satisfied the requirements for perfecting priority.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/675,169

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714 Page 3